

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/801,883	TROXELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Kim	3733	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) John Kim.

(3) Garry Tuma.

(2) Eduardo Robert.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 22, 30, 32, 33, 34, 45, 51, 52, 53, 61, 63, 64, 65, 85, 93, 95, 96

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 EDUARDO C. ROBERT
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner initiated interview with applicant's representative in regards to the claims discussed above, where the limitations lacked antecedent basis (please read Examiner Amendments dated 6/6/06 for the specific limitations). Agreement was made to the amendments to overcome the lack of antecedent basis..